

QUICK SEARCH: [advanced]

Author: Keyword(s):

Go ITP purpura

Year: Vol: Page:

Blood, 15 June 2004, Vol. 103, No. 12, pp. 4380-4381.

**inside
blood**

**HEMOSTASIS, THROMBOSIS, AND VASCULAR
BIOLOGY**

Antibodies redux

Platelet antibody testing in patients with idiopathic thrombocytopenic **purpura (ITP)** has acquired a somewhat tarnished reputation. Measurements of platelet-associated immunoglobulin, while sufficiently sensitive to have a high negative predictive value, also carry a rate of false-positive results so high as to be of no clinical use. Assays that detect platelet glycoprotein-specific antibodies, while more specific, are not sufficiently sensitive to exclude the diagnosis of **ITP**,¹ and the extent of interlaboratory reproducibility is appreciable and troubling.² As a result, platelet antibody testing was not included in the diagnostic criteria for **ITP** formulated by either the Practice Guideline Committee of the American Society of Hematology or the Task Force of the British Committee for Standards in Haematology.

Results of more recent studies have placed a slight wrinkle in this dismissive assessment. An acceptable level of discrimination between **ITP** and thrombocytopenic conditions in which an immune etiology is deemed unlikely has been achieved in several recent studies.^{3,4} For example, once patients with "nonimmune" causes of thrombocytopenia (eg, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, myelodysplasia, and myeloproliferative disorders) who responded to **ITP**-directed therapy had been excluded from analysis, the specificity of a positive antibody assay for the diagnosis of **ITP** approached 95% in one large recently published study.⁵

Into this setting enters the provocative paper by Fabris and colleagues (page [4562](#)) in the current issue of *Blood*. The authors report that the detection of platelet-specific autoantibodies is a useful prognostic marker in patients who fit the classic clinical definition of **ITP**. Specifically, the authors found that 72% of 25 patients with positive antibody tests developed severe thrombocytopenia or required treatment a median of 2.1 months after testing, compared with 25 test-negative patients, only 32% of whom showed clinical worsening, which occurred at a median of 27.7 months. Although the absolute difference in the numbers of patients in various subgroups was quite small, making the confidence intervals wide, the findings reinforce those of others who have found a correlation between the prevalence and/or titer of antibody and the severity of

- ▶ [PDF Version of this Article](#)
- ▶ [Email this article to a friend](#)
- ▶ [Related Article in Blood Online](#)
- ▶ **Similar articles found in:**
[Blood Online](#)
- ▶ Search PubMed for articles by:
[Cines, D. B.](#)
- ▶ Alert me when:
[new articles cite this article](#)
- ▶ [Download to Citation Manager](#)

thrombocytopenia.

The fact that the severity of the thrombocytopenia and the duration of disease were comparable in the 2 populations reported by Fabris et al raises several interesting questions concerning the antibody-negative **ITP** population. Is the threshold required to clear antibody-coated platelets below the detection limits of the assay employed? If so, what caused the increase in antibody production over time in some patients but not in others? Alternatively, does somatic mutation of the autoantibodies lead to the recognition of additional epitopes, including one or more of the more prevalent platelet glycoprotein complexes that are detected in these assays, thereby enhancing platelet opsonization or further impeding platelet production? Or might T-cell-mediated cytotoxicity,⁶ alterations in Fc γ receptor-mediated platelet clearance, or additional, as yet unrecognized, processes make a greater contribution to the severity of the thrombocytopenia in this subpopulation?

Although platelet antibody testing cannot as yet be recommended in routine clinical practice to either make or exclude the diagnosis of **ITP**, the study by Fabris et al raises the possibility that measuring platelet-specific antibodies may be of use to prognosticate the clinical course in patients with an established diagnosis. Serial prospective studies in much larger patient populations showing that "seroconversion" precedes clinical deterioration in the antibody-negative group would be required to prove this point and to identify how much warning, if any, such data provide.

— Douglas B. Cines
University of Pennsylvania

References

1. Chong BH, Keng TB. Advances in the diagnosis of idiopathic thrombocytopenic **purpura**. *Semin Hematol.* 2000;37: 249-260. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[Medline\]](#) [\[Order article via Infotrieve\]](#)
2. Berchtold P, Muller D, Beardsley D, et al. International study to compare antigen-specific methods used for the measurement of antiplatelet autoantibodies. *Br J Haematol.* 1997;96: 477-481. [\[Medline\]](#) [\[Order article via Infotrieve\]](#)
3. Brighton TA, Evans S, Castaldi PA, Chesterman CN, Chong BH. Prospective evaluation of usefulness of an antigen-specific assay (MAIPA) in idiopathic thrombocytopenic **purpura** and other immune thrombocytopenia. *Blood.* 1996;88: 194-201. [\[Abstract/Free Full Text\]](#)
4. Warner MN, Moore JC, Warkentin TE, Santos AV, Kelton JG. A prospective study of protein-specific assays used to investigate idiopathic thrombocytopenic **purpura**. *Br J Haematol.* 1999;104: 442-447. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[Medline\]](#) [\[Order article via Infotrieve\]](#)
5. McMillan R, Wang L, Tani P. Prospective evaluation of the immunobead assay for the diagnosis of adult chronic immune thrombocytopenic **purpura (ITP)**. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2003;1: 485-491. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[Medline\]](#) [\[Order article via Infotrieve\]](#)

6. Olsson B, Andersson P-O, Jernas M, Jacobsson S, Carlsson LMS, Wadenvik H. T-cell-mediated cytotoxicity toward platelets in chronic idiopathic thrombocytopenic **purpura**. Nat Med. 2003;9: 1123-1124. [[CrossRef](#)][[Medline](#)] [[Order article via Infotrieve](#)]

Related Article in Blood Online:

Platelet-associated autoantibodies as detected by a solid-phase modified antigen capture ELISA test (MACE) are a useful prognostic factor in idiopathic thrombocytopenic **purpura**

Fabrizio Fabris, Raffaella Scandellari, Elisabetta Ruzzon, Maria Luigia Randi, Guido Luzzatto, and Antonio Girolami
Blood 2004 103: 4562-4564. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)]

- ▶ [PDF Version of this Article](#)
- ▶ [Email this article to a friend](#)
- ▶ [Related Article in Blood Online](#)
- ▶ **Similar articles found in:**
[Blood Online](#)
- ▶ Search PubMed for articles by:
[Cines, D. B.](#)
- ▶ Alert me when:
[new articles cite this article](#)
- ▶ [Download to Citation Manager](#)



Blood Online is sponsored by an unrestricted grant from [Shire](#)

[Copyright © 2004 by American Society of Hematology.](#)